

1 TIMOTHY COURCHAINE
2 United States Attorney
3 District of Arizona
4 MICHAEL L. LINTON
5 Assistant U.S. Attorney
6 Arizona State Bar No. 024729
7 405 W. Congress Street, Suite 4800
8 Tucson, Arizona 85701
9 Telephone: 520-620-7300
10 Email: Michael.Linton@usdoj.gov
11 Attorneys for Defendant

7 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

10 Center for Biological Diversity,

CV-25-00341-TUC-RM

11 Plaintiff,

12
13 **JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT**
14 **REPORT**

v.

15 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,

Defendant.

16 The parties, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby submit their Joint Case
17 Management Report as follows:

18 Proposed deadlines for:

- 19 a. **Initial disclosures:** Not expected at this time.
- 20 b. **Addition of parties or amending pleadings:** Not expected at this time.
- 21 c. **Disclosure of expert testimony and rebuttal expert testimony:** Not
22 expected at this time.
- 23 d. **Completion of discovery:**

24 To date, no discovery has been taken by any party. The parties agree that
25 discovery should be stayed pending Defendant United States
26 Environmental Protection Agency's filing of its motion for summary
27 judgment and supporting *Vaughn* declaration or indices. Plaintiff Center
28

1 for Biological Diversity reserves the right to seek discovery pursuant
 2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(f) after the government files its
 3 motion for summary judgment and supporting *Vaughn* declarations or
 4 indices. Defendant contends that discovery is generally not appropriate
 5 in FOIA actions. *See Lane v. Dep't of Interior*, 523 F.3d 1128, 1134 (9th
 6 Cir. 2008) (stating that in FOIA “cases courts may allow the government
 7 to move for summary judgment before the plaintiff conducts
 8 discovery”); *see also Minier v. Central Intelligence Agency*, 88 F.3d 796,
 9 803 (9th Cir. 1996) (noting that discovery does not apply); *Hardy v. U.S.*
 10 *Dept. of Defense*, No. CV-99-523-TUC-FRZ, 2001 WL 34354945, *4
 11 (“Discovery is to be sparingly granted in FOIA actions”) (quoting *Public*
 12 *Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA*, 997 F. Supp. 56, 72 (D. D.C.
 13 1998) (“*Public Citizen*”), aff’d in part and rev’d in part on other grounds,
 14 185 F.3d 898 (D.C. Cir. 1999)). Defendant further contends that
 15 discovery is permitted only if and when the agency’s “affidavits create
 16 genuine issues as to the thoroughness of the government search for
 17 documents” or whether a disclosure exemption applies. *Western Ctr for*
 18 *Journalism v. Internal Revenue Service*, 116 F. Supp. 2d 1, 8 (D. D.C.
 19 2000) (quoting *Weisberg v. Dept. of Justice*, 705 F.2d 1344 (D.C. Cir.
 20 1983));

21 **e. Filing dispositive motions:**

22 Summary judgment is how nearly all FOIA cases are resolved. *See Lane*, 523 F.3d
 23 at 1134 (9th Cir. 2008). This is because the cases involve the legal question of applying
 24 FOIA’s disclosure exemptions to particular records. *Id.* It is the agency’s burden to justify
 25 its actions with respect to a plaintiff’s request, which is done by affidavit. *See* 5 U.S.C. §
 26 552(a)(4)(B) (allowing the use of affidavits); *Lane*, 523 F.3d at 1135-36 (discussing the
 27 use of affidavits).

1 Parties agreed that this matter will likely be resolved at summary judgment.
2 However, because EPA is still in the process of responding to Plaintiff's request, and
3 because the parties may be able to resolve the matter without briefing, it is premature to
4 set the schedule at this time. The parties have met and conferred regarding EPA's planned
5 schedule for completion of its response to Plaintiff's request, and Plaintiff has indicated
6 EPA's proposed schedule is acceptable at this time.

7 **f. Filing joint settlement status reports:** The parties agree to file joint
8 status reports every 60 days regarding the status of the case.

9 **g. Filing joint proposed pretrial order:** Not applicable at this time
10 because parties anticipate this case will likely be resolved through
11 summary judgment motions.

12 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of August 2025.

13 TIMOTHY COURCHAINE
14 United States Attorney
15 District of Arizona

16 *s/ Michael L. Linton*
17 MICHAEL L. LINTON
18 Assistant U.S. Attorney
19 Attorney for Defendant

20 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL
21 DIVERSITY

22 *s/Jeremiah Scanlan*
23 JEREMIAH SCANLAN
24 RYAN SHANNON
25 RYAN MAHER
26 Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2 I hereby certify that on August 25, 2025, I electronically transmitted the attached
3 document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmitted a
4 Notice of Electronic Filing to the following:

6 Jeremiah Scanlan
7 Ryan Adair Shannon
8 Center for Biological Diversity
9 P.O. Box 11374
Portland, OR 97211
Email: jscanlan@biologicaldiversity.org
rshannon@biologicaldiversity.org
9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

10 Ryan Maher
11 Center for Biological Diversity
12 1411 K Street NW, Suite 1300
13 Washington, DC 20005
14 Email: rmaher@biologicaldiversity.org
15 Attorney for Plaintiff

14 s/ L. Startup
15 Joint Case Mgmt. Plan